		Observing MEES Standards	Developing MEES Standards	Demonstrating MEES Standards
Types of Videos	Interviews with Students ¹ (p. 136-137)	Standard 2	Standard 7	Standard 7 (If student understanding from the interview is then used as evidence to plan possible future instruction)
	Videos of Classroom Lessons ¹ (p. 137) (Unedited, full-length videos ² – p. 343 - that provide a more authentic experience of normal instructional routines and show how elements of the classroom are inter-related)	Standards 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 depending on the focus		
	Pre-Service Teacher Selected Videos ¹ (p. 137) (Having pre-service teachers find examples of strategies for visible student thinking and effective questioning)	Provides opportunities for standards 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 through searching for and watching videos	Standard 1 and Standard 2 (By exposing pre-service teachers to more strategies to implement) Standard 4 Standard 8	Standard 4 (If selecting videos that show effective strategies for visible student thinking or videos that show effective questioning) Standard 8
	Focus on the Content Portrayed ¹ (p. 137-139) (Including a variety of subjects, instructional strategies, procedures, and student activities)	Standard 1 Standard 3	Standard 1 Standard 3	
Video Selection Criteria	Teaching that Makes Student Thinking <u>Visible</u> ¹ (p. 137-139) (Including clear audio of teacher-student or student- student interactions or clear images of student work)	Standard 4 Standard 5	Standard 4 Standard 7	Standard 7 (If student learning is used to monitor student progress then as evidence to plan possible future instruction) Standard 8 (If student thinking is used to reflect on the effectiveness of the lesson)
Υ.	A Diversity in the Backgrounds of the Students and Teachers ¹ (p. 137-139) (A variety of SES and ethnic backgrounds)	Standard 2 Standard 6	Standard 2 Standard 6	



Watching Process	Shared Viewing ³ (Pre-service teachers and instructors viewing lessons at the same time and following them with collaboration, discussion, and critical reflection)	Standard 9	Standard 8 Standard 9	Standard 8 Standard 9
	Pre-Service Teachers Deriving Information About the Classroom ² (p.339) (Rather than being told the information ahead of time – ex.: being told to look for the rules while observing rather than being given the rules beforehand – more effective at promoting reflective thinking)	Standards 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 depending on the focus	Standard 2 (Noticing and inferencing differentiations based on students' needs and interests) Standard 5 (Noticing and identifying proactive classroom management strategies)	
	Worksheets to Guide Observations ² (p.342) (Cognitively prepares pre- service teachers by developing observation skills with the guidance of an instructor ⁴ – p. 298)	Standards 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 depending on the focus of the observation tasks	Standard 1 Standard 2 Standard 3 Standard 4 (For the standards above: evidence shows that pre- service teachers are able to focus more on student learning, engagement, and critical thinking after developing observation skills ² ⁴) Standard 8	Standard 8 (If used to then discuss the lesson and reflect on the effectiveness of the observed tasks)
	Recording Instructional Methods or Techniques and their Positive and Negative Effects ² (p. 342 & 348) (Provides a more targeted approach to early observations and practice reflecting on the effectiveness of different methods)	Standards 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 depending on the focus	Standards 1, 2, 4, and 6 depending on the methods recorded and critiqued Standard 7 Standard 8	Standard 7 (If critiques to the used methods and their outcomes on student learning are then used to plan possible alternative instruction) Standard 8
	<u>Text Chat Features</u> <u>During Observations</u> ³ (p. 429-430) (including the pre-service teachers and instructors)	Standard 8 Standard 9	Standard 8 Standard 9	Standard 8 Standard 9

	Loccon Analysia			
vork	Lesson Analysis			
	Framework (Santagata & Guarino: "Using			
	Video to Teach Future Teachers			
	to Learn From Teaching") ¹			
	1. Identifying Lesson	Standard 3	Standard 3	Standard 3
	Learning Goals			
	2. Analyzing Student	Standard 4	Standard 7	Standard 7
nev	Thinking and			
Lesson Analysis Framework	Learning (Through visible student learning or student work examples)			
	3. Constructing	Standards 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,	Standard 2	Standard 7
n A	Hypotheses About	and 6 depending on the	Standard 7	Standard 8
so	the Effects of	lesson observed	Standard 8	
Les	Teaching on			
	Students' Learning			
	4. Using Analysis to	Standard 2	Standard 2	Standard 2
	Propose	Standard 3	Standard 3	Standard 3
	Improvements in	Standard 4	Standard 4	Standard 4
	•		Standard 7	Standard 7
	Teaching		Standard 8	Standard 8
	Ongoing Discussion	Standard 8	Standard 8	Standard 8
	Threads Among Pre-	Standard 9	Standard 9	Standard 9
es	Service Teachers,			
viti	Instructors, and In-			
cti	Service Mentor			
g A	Teachers ³ (p. 429-430)			
hin	Small-Group then	Standards 1, 4, 5, and 6	Standard 2	Standard 8
atc	Whole-Group	depending on the focus	(Inferencing differentiations based on students' needs and	
Post-Watching Activities	Debriefs ² (p. 343)		interests)	
	(where lessons are		Standard 3	
	reinterpreted to make		(Recognizing the importance	
	inferences about the complexity of planned classroom		of long-range planning and curriculum development)	
	instruction)		Standard 8	

¹ Santagata, R., Guarino, J. Using video to teach future teachers to learn from teaching. *ZDM Mathematics Education* **43**, 133–145 (2011). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-010-0292-3</u> Great resource for all levels of field experiences and includes the lesson analysis framework

² Salajan, F., & Duffield, S. (2019) Enhancing Pre-service Teachers' Professional Practice Through Reflection on the Action of Others: The Development of the Heterospective Reflection Framework Informed by Virtual Field Experiences, The Teacher Educator, 54:4, 333 358. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/08878730.2018.1557309</u>

Includes a lot of information on guiding beginning field experiences and developing observation skills

³ Heafner, T., & Plaisance, M. (2012). Shared viewing as an approach to transforming early field experiences. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 12(4)*. Retrieved from https://citejournal.org/volume-12/issue-4-12/current-practice/shared-viewing-as-an-approach-to-transforming-early-field-experiences/

Presents ideas that are more similar to culminating field experiences that include professional collaboration and reflection

⁴ Hixon, E. & So, H.J. (2009). Technology's Role in Field Experiences for Preservice Teacher Training. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, *12*(4), 294-304. Retrieved June 23, 2020 from https://www.learntechlib.org/p/74980/.

Reviews the pros and cons of both traditional and virtual field experiences and provides research and evidence for cognitively preparing pre-service teachers to benefit more from observations